

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

TU,

Plaintiff,

v.

MILEY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-00292-RFB-EJY

ORDER


Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 17) of the Honorable Elayna J. Youchah, United States Magistrate Judge, entered June 23, 2020.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. Civ. R. IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). See also D. Nev. Civ. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by July 7, 2020. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Complaint is dismissed **with prejudice**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Fourteenth Amendment claim against
rs Skerston and Coyne are dismissed **without prejudice**.


RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
United States District Judge